

Voronoi-Dickson Hypothesis on Perfect Forms and L -types

Robert Erdahl and Konstantin Rybnikov

November 19, 2001

Short Version

Abstract

George Voronoi (1908, 1909) introduced two important reduction methods for positive quadratic forms: the reduction with perfect forms, and the reduction with L -type domains, often called domains of Delaunay type. The first method is important in studies of dense lattice packings of spheres. The second method provides the key tools for finding the least dense lattice coverings with equal spheres in lower dimensions. In his investigations Voronoi heavily relied on that in dimensions less than 6 the partition of the cone of positive quadratic forms into L -types refines the partition of this cone into perfect domains. Voronoi conjectured implicitly and Dickson (1972) explicitly that the L -partition is always a refinement of the partition into perfect domains. This was proved for $n \leq 5$ (Voronoi, Delaunay, Ryshkov, Baranovskii). We show that Voronoi-Dickson conjecture fails already in dimension 6.

Keywords: positive quadratic form, perfect form, point lattice, Delaunay tiling (L -partition), L -type, repartitioning complex, lattice packings and coverings, lattices E_6 and E_6^* , Voronoi reduction, integral representations of groups D_4 , E_6 , E_6^* , Gosset polytope 2_{21}

AMS Classification. Primary 11, 52 Secondary 15

1 Introduction and main result

Positive quadratic forms (referred to as PQFs) in n indeterminate form a *closed* cone $\mathfrak{P}(n)$ of dimension $N = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ in $\mathbb{R}^N$, and this cone is the main object of study in our paper. The interior of $\mathfrak{P}(n)$ consists of positive definite forms of rank n . We abbreviate positive *definite* forms as PDQFs. PDQFs serve as algebraic representations of *point lattices*. There is a one-to-one correspondence between isometry classes of n -lattices and integral equivalence classes (i.e. with respect to $GL(n, \mathbb{Z})$ -conjugation)

of PDQFs in n indeterminates. For basic results of the theory of lattices and PQFs and their applications see Ryshkov and Baranovskii (1978), Gruber and Lekkerkerker (1987), Erdős, Gruber and Hammer (1989), Conway and Sloane (1999).

$GL(n, \mathbb{Z})$ acts pointwise on the space of quadratic forms $Sym(n, \mathbb{R}) \cong \mathbb{R}^N$. A *polyhedral reduction partition* R of $\mathfrak{P}(n)$ is a partition of this cone into open *convex polyhedral* cones such that:

- Definition 1.1** 1. *it is invariant with respect to $GL(n, \mathbb{Z})$;*
2. *there are finitely many inequivalent cones in this partition;*
3. *for each cone C of R and any PQF f in n indeterminates, f can be $GL(n, \mathbb{Z})$ -equivalent to at most finitely many forms lying in C .*

The *partition into perfect cones* and the *L -type partition* (also referred to as the Voronoi partition of the 2nd kind, or the partition into Voronoi reduction domains) are important polyhedral reduction partitions of $\mathfrak{P}(n)$. (Our usage of term *domain* is lax; it should be clear from the context whether we mean the whole arithmetic class, or just one element of this class.) These partitions have been intensively studied in geometry of numbers since times of Korkin, Zolotareff (1873) and Voronoi (1908), and more recently in combinatorics (e.g. Deza et al. (1997)), and algebraic geometry (e.g. Alexeev (1999a,b)). *In most previous works* (e.g. Voronoi (1908,1909), Ryshkov, Baranovskii (1976), Dickson (1972)) *the L -type partition of $P(n)$, or sub-cones of $P(n)$, was constructed by refining the perfect partition*. It is not an exaggeration to say that in almost any systematic study, except for Engels' computational investigations, L -types were approached via perfect forms. For example, Voronoi started classifying 4-dimensional L -types by analyzing the Delaunay (L -)tilings of forms lying in the 1st (A_n) and 2nd (D_n) perfect domains. The same route was followed by Ryshkov and Baranovskii (1976). It was widely believed that the L -type partition is the refinement of the perfect partition, i.e. each convex cone of the perfect partition is the union of finitely many convex cones from the L -type partition. This conjecture is implicit in Voronoi's memoirs (1908-1909), and explicit in Dickson (1972), where he showed that the first perfect domain is the only perfect domain which coincided with an L -type domain.

In our paper we present the results of our study of the relationship between these two partitions for $n = 6$. We have proved that for $n = 6$ the L -type partition is NOT a refinement of the partition into perfect cones, as was believed before. This note contains only a sketch of the proof of this result, which will be later published in a longer journal paper (about 35-40pp).

2 Perfect and L -type partitions

2.1 L -types

Definition 2.1 Let L be a lattice in $\mathbb{R}^n$. A convex polyhedron P in $\mathbb{R}^n$ is called a Delaunay cell of L with respect to a positive quadratic form $f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x})$ if:

1. for each face F of P we have $\text{conv}(L \cap F) = F$;
2. there is a quadric circumscribed about P , called the empty ellipsoid of P when $f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x})$ is positive definite, whose quadratic form is $f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x})$ (in case $\text{rank } f < n$, this quadric is an elliptic cylinder);
3. no points of L lie inside the quadric circumscribed about P .

When $f = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2$, our definition coincides with the classical definition of Delaunay cell in $\mathbb{E}^n$. Delaunay cells form a convex face-to-face tiling of L that is uniquely defined by L (Delaunay, 1937). Two Delaunay cells are called *homologous* if they can be mapped to each other with a composition of a lattice translation and a central inversion with respect to a lattice point.

Definition 2.2 PQFs f_1 and f_2 belong to the same convex L -domain if the Delaunay tilings of $\mathbb{Z}^n$ with respect to f_1 and f_2 are identical. f_1 and f_2 belong to the same L -type if these tilings are equivalent with respect to $GL(n, \mathbb{Z})$.

The following proposition establishes the equivalence between the Delaunay's definition of L -equivalence for lattices and the notion of L -equivalence for arbitrary PQFs, which is introduced above.

Proposition 2.3 Positive definite forms f and g belong to the same L -type if the corresponding lattices belong to the same L -type with respect to the form $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2$.

Theorem 2.4 (Voronoi) The partition of $\mathfrak{P}(n)$ into L -types is a reduction partition. Moreover, it is face-to-face.

The notions of Delaunay tiling and L -type are important in the study of extremal and group-theoretic properties of lattices. For example, the analysis of Delaunay cells in the Leech lattice conducted by Conway, Sloane (e.g. see (1999)) and Borcherds showed that 23 "deep holes" (Delaunay cells of radius equal to the covering radius of the lattice) in the Leech lattice correspond to 23 even unimodular 24-dimensional lattices (Niemeier's list) that, in turn, give rise to 23 "gluing" constructions of the Leech lattice from root lattices. Barnes and Dickson (1967, 1968) and, later, in a geometric form, Delaunay et al. (1969, 1970) proved the following

Theorem 2.5 The closure of any N -dimensional convex L -domain contains at most one local minimum of the sphere covering density. The group of $GL(n, \mathbb{Z})$ -automorphisms of the domain maps this form to itself.

Using this approach, Delaunay, Ryshkov and Baranovskii (1963, 1976) found the best lattice coverings in $\mathbb{E}^4$ and $\mathbb{E}^5$. The theory of L -types also has numerous connections to combinatorics and, in particular, to cuts, hypermetrics, and regular graphs (see Deza et al. (1997)). Recently, V. Alexeev (1999a,b) found exciting connections between compactifications of moduli spaces of principally polarized abelian varieties and L -types.

2.2 Perfect cones

The L -type partition of $\mathfrak{P}(n)$ is closely related to the theory of *perfect forms* originated by Korkine and Zolotareff (1873). Let $f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x})$ be a PDQF. The *arithmetic minimum* of $f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x})$ is the minimum of this form on $\mathbb{Z}^n$. The integral vectors on which this minimum is attained are called the representations of the minimum, or the *minimal vectors* of $f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x})$: these vectors have the minimal length among all vectors of $\mathbb{Z}^n$ when $f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x})$ is used as the metrical form. Form $f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x})$ is called *perfect* if it can be reconstructed up to scale from all representations of its arithmetic minimum. In other words, a form $f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x})$ with the arithmetic minimum m and the set of minimal vectors $\{\mathbf{v}_k | k = 1, \dots, 2s\}$ is perfect if the system $\boxed{\sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} v_k^i v_k^j = m,}$ where $k = 1, \dots, 2s$, has a unique solution (a_{ij}) in $Sym(n, \mathbb{R}) \cong \mathbb{R}^N$ (indeed, uniqueness requires at least $n(n+1)$ minimal vectors).

Definition 2.6 *PQFs f_1 and f_2 belong to the same cone of the perfect partition if they both can be written as strictly positive linear combinations of some subset of minimal vectors of a perfect form ϕ . f_1 and f_2 belong to the same perfect type if there is f'_1 , equivalent to f_1 , such that f'_1 and f_2 belong to the same cone of the perfect partition.*

Theorem 2.7 (Voronoi) *The partition of $\mathfrak{P}(n)$ into perfect domains is a reduction partition. Moreover, it is face-to-face. Each 1-dimensional cone of this partition lies on $\partial\mathfrak{P}(n)$.*

Perfect forms play an important role in lattice sphere packings. Voronoi's theorem (1908) says that if a form is *extreme*—i.e., a maximum of the packing density—it must also be perfect (see Coxeter (1951), Conway, Sloane (1988) for the proof). The notion of *eutactic form* arises in the study of the dense lattice sphere packings and is directly related to the notion of perfect form. The reciprocal of $f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x})$ is a form whose Gram matrix is the inverse of the Gram matrix of $f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x})$. The dual form is normally denoted by $f^*(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x})$. A form $f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x})$ is called *eutactic* if the dual form $f^*(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x})$ can be written as $\sum_{k=1}^s \alpha_k (\mathbf{v}_k \bullet \mathbf{x})^2$, where $\{\mathbf{v}_k | k = 1, \dots, s\}$ is the set of mutually non-collinear minimal vectors of $f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x})$, and $\alpha_k > 0$.

Theorem 2.8 (Voronoi) *A form $f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x})$ is a maximum of the sphere packing density if and only if $f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x})$ is perfect and eutactic.*

Voronoi gave an algorithm finding all perfect domains for given n . This algorithm is known as Voronoi's reduction with perfect forms. For the computational analysis of his algorithm and its improvements see Martinet (1996). The perfect forms and the incidence graphs of perfect partitions of $\mathfrak{P}(n)$ have been completely described for $n \leq 7$

2.3 The relationship in low dimensions. The case of $n = 6$.

Voronoi (1908-09) proved that for $n = 2, 3$ the L -partition and the perfect partition of $\mathfrak{P}(n)$ coincide. The perfect facet D_4 (the 2nd perfect form in 4 variables) exemplifies a new pattern in the relation of these partitions. Namely, the facet D_4 is decomposed into a number of simplicial L -type domains like a pie: this decomposition consists of the cones with apex at the affine center of this facet over the $(N - 2)$ -faces. These simplexes are L -type domains of two arithmetic types: type I is adjacent to the perfect/ L -type domain of A_4 , type II is adjacent to an arithmetically equivalent L -type domain (also type II, indeed) from the L -subdivision of the adjacent D_4 domain (for details see Delaunay et al. (1963, 1968)).

Voronoi also proved that for $n = 4$ the tiling of $\mathfrak{P}(n)$ with L -type domains refines the partition of this cone into perfect domains. Ryshkov and Baranovskii (1975) proved the refinement hypothesis for $n = 5$. In his paper of 1972 T.J. Dickson proved that the perfect domain of A_n , also called the *first perfect form* after Korkine and Zolotareff (1873), is the only perfect domain that is also an L -type domain; he was also first to explicitly mention the common believe in *Voronoi's refinement hypothesis*.

3 Metrical forms for the lattices E_6 and E_6^*

Consider the following symmetric sets of vectors in $\mathbb{Z}^6$.

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{P}_1 &= \{\pm[-3; 2^5], \pm[2; -2; -1^4], \pm[1; 0; -1^4]\} \\ \mathcal{P}_2 &= \{\pm[2; -1; -2, -1^3] \times 4, \pm[1; -1; 0, -1^3] \times 4, \pm[1; 0^2, -1^3] \times 10, \pm[0; 1, 0^4] \times 5, \pm[2; -1^5]\} \\ \mathcal{P}_3 &= \{\pm[0; 0; 1, -1, 0^2] \times 6, \pm[1; 0; -1^2, 0^2] \times 6\}\end{aligned}$$

Here we use a short-hand notation for families of vectors obtained from some n -vector by all circular permutations of selected subsets of its components: (1) m^k stands for k consecutive m 's, (2) square brackets $[a_1 \dots a_n]$ are used to denote all vectors that can be obtained from vector $(a_1 \dots a_n)$ by circular permutations in strings of symbols that are separated by commas and bordered on the sides by semicolons and/or brackets, (3) numbers between semicolons and/or brackets are not permuted.

The two sets of *perfect vectors* $\mathcal{P}_{E_6^*} = \mathcal{P}_1 \cup \mathcal{P}_2$ and $\mathcal{P}_{E_6} = \mathcal{P}_2 \cup \mathcal{P}_3$ are the minimal vectors for the metrical forms $\pi_{E_6^*}$ and π_{E_6} , with *arithmetic minimum* m and

coefficient matrices

$$\mathbf{P}_{E_6} = \frac{m}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 8 & 1 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 3 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 3 & 0 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 3 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 3 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 3 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{P}_{E_6^*} = \frac{m}{4} \begin{bmatrix} 16 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 \\ 5 & 4 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 5 & 1 & 4 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 5 & 1 & 1 & 4 & 1 & 1 \\ 5 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 4 & 1 \\ 5 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 4 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The metrical forms $\pi_{E_6^*}$ and π_{E_6} are integrally equivalent to forms ϕ_4 and ϕ_2 from Barnes's table (1957). That $\pi_{E_6^*}$ and π_{E_6} are forms for the root lattices E_6^* and E_6^* is established by the numbers of perfect vectors, which are given by $|\mathcal{P}_{E_6^*}| = 54$ and $|\mathcal{P}_{E_6}| = 72$. The. As shown below, if this scale parameter is set equal to $\sqrt{8/3}$, then, the geometric lattices corresponding to π_{E_6} , $\pi_{E_6^*}$ are dual lattices.

Perfect vectors and perfect domains. Let $V \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$ be centrally symmetric. Then define the *domain of* V to be given by

$$\Phi(V) = \{\varphi(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\mathbf{v} \in V^+} \omega_{\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{x})^2 | \omega_{\mathbf{v}} \geq 0\};$$

as indicated by the superscript $+$, this summation is over an *oriented subset* of vectors – one vector from each pair of opposites in the symmetric set V . The domains $\Phi(\mathcal{P}_{E_6})$, $\Phi(\mathcal{P}_{E_6^*})$ are *perfect cones* of types E_6 and E_6^* , respectively; they are defined on the perfect vectors for the perfect forms π_{E_6} and $\pi_{E_6^*}$.

Proposition 3.1 $\Phi(\mathcal{P}_{E_6} \cap \mathcal{P}_{E_6^*})$ is a facet of both $\Phi(\mathcal{P}_{E_6})$ and $\Phi(\mathcal{P}_{E_6^*})$.

Proof. If forms π , φ have coefficient matrices $\mathbf{P}$, $\mathbf{F}$, define the scalar product $\langle \pi, \varphi \rangle := \text{trace}(\mathbf{P}\mathbf{F})$. Consider the form $\pi_{E_6^*} - \pi_{E_6}$ and the rank one form $\varphi_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{x}) = (\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{x})^2$. Then, $\langle \pi_{E_6^*} - \pi_{E_6}, \varphi_{\mathbf{p}} \rangle = \text{trace}(\mathbf{P}_{E_6^*} - \mathbf{P}_{E_6})\mathbf{p}\mathbf{p}^T = \mathbf{p}^T(\mathbf{P}_{E_6^*} - \mathbf{P}_{E_6})\mathbf{p} = \pi_{E_6^*}(\mathbf{p}) - \pi_{E_6}(\mathbf{p})$. If $\mathbf{p} \in \mathcal{P}_{E_6^*}$, then $\pi_{E_6^*}(\mathbf{p}) - \pi_{E_6}(\mathbf{p}) = m - \pi_{E_6}(\mathbf{p}) \leq 0$, with equality if and only if $\mathbf{p} \in \mathcal{P}_{E_6} \cap \mathcal{P}_{E_6^*}$; if $\mathbf{p} \in \mathcal{P}_{E_6}$, then $\pi_{E_6^*}(\mathbf{p}) - \pi_{E_6}(\mathbf{p}) = \pi_{E_6^*}(\mathbf{p}) - m \geq 0$, with equality if and only if $\mathbf{p} \in \mathcal{P}_{E_6} \cap \mathcal{P}_{E_6^*}$. It follows that the hyperplane with equation $\langle \pi_{E_6^*} - \pi_{E_6}, \varphi \rangle = 0$ separates $\Phi(\mathcal{P}_{E_6})$ and $\Phi(\mathcal{P}_{E_6^*})$, and that $\Phi(\mathcal{P}_{E_6} \cap \mathcal{P}_{E_6^*})$ is a face of both these perfect domains.

That $\Phi(\mathcal{P}_{E_6} \cap \mathcal{P}_{E_6^*})$ is a facet follows by showing that the linear span of the forms $\varphi_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{x}) = (\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{x})^2$, $\mathbf{p} \in \mathcal{P}_{E_6} \cap \mathcal{P}_{E_6^*}$ has co-dimension one in the linear space of metrical forms. (We omit this argument.) ■

Eutactic forms. The forms

$$\varphi_{E_6}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{m}{12} \sum_{\mathbf{p} \in \mathcal{P}_{E_6^*}^+} (\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{x})^2, \quad \varphi_{E_6^*}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{m}{16} \sum_{\mathbf{p} \in \mathcal{P}_{E_6}^+} (\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{x})^2,$$

lie on the *central rays* of the perfect cones $\Phi_{E_6^*}$, Φ_{E_6} , and have coefficient matrices given by

$$\mathbf{F}_{E_6} = \frac{m}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 8 & -5 & -5 & -5 & -5 & -5 \\ -5 & 4 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 3 \\ -5 & 3 & 4 & 3 & 3 & 3 \\ -5 & 3 & 3 & 4 & 3 & 3 \\ -5 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 4 & 3 \\ -5 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{F}_{E_6^*} = \frac{m}{4} \begin{bmatrix} 10 & -5 & -6 & -6 & -6 & -6 \\ -5 & 4 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 3 \\ -6 & 3 & 6 & 3 & 3 & 3 \\ -6 & 3 & 3 & 6 & 3 & 3 \\ -6 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 6 & 3 \\ -6 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 6 \end{bmatrix}.$$

These forms are related to the original π_{E_6} , $\pi_{E_6^*}$ by the formulas $\varphi_{E_6}(\mathbf{x}) = \pi_{E_6}(\mathbf{U}_*\mathbf{x})$, $\varphi_{E_6^*}(\mathbf{x}) = \pi_{E_6^*}(\mathbf{U}_*\mathbf{x})$, where $\mathbf{U}_* \in GL(6, \mathbb{Z})$ is given by

$$\mathbf{U}_* = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -2 & 0 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ -2 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{U}_*^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Being arithmetically equivalent, φ_{E_6} , π_{E_6} are alternate metrical forms for the same geometric lattice, and similarly for $\varphi_{E_6^*}$, $\pi_{E_6^*}$. We will refer to the minimal vectors of these forms as *short*. The short vectors for φ_{E_6} and $\varphi_{E_6^*}$ are related to the perfect vectors for π_{E_6} and $\pi_{E_6^*}$ by the formulas: $\mathcal{S}_{E_6} = \mathcal{S}_2 \cup \mathcal{S}_3$, $\mathcal{S}_{E_6^*} = \mathcal{S}_1 \cup \mathcal{S}_2$, where $\mathcal{S}_1 = \mathbf{U}_*^{-1}(\mathcal{P}_1)$, $\mathcal{S}_2 = \mathbf{U}_*^{-1}(\mathcal{P}_2)$, $\mathcal{S}_3 = \mathbf{U}_*^{-1}(\mathcal{P}_3)$. Explicit form for the sets $\mathcal{S}_1$, $\mathcal{S}_2$, $\mathcal{S}_3$ can be obtained by a direct calculation.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_1 &= \{\pm[2, -1, 1^4], \pm[-2, 0, -1^4], \pm[0, 1, 0^4]\} \\ \mathcal{S}_2 &= \{\pm[0, 1, -1, 0^3] \times 4, \pm[2, 0, 0, 1^3] \times 4, \pm[1, 1^2, 0^3] \times 10, \pm[1, 1, 0^4] \times 5, \pm[3, 1^5]\} \\ \mathcal{S}_3 &= \{\pm[0, 0, 1, -1, 0^2] \times 6, \pm[2, 1, 1^2, 0^2] \times 6\} \end{aligned}$$

The four coefficient matrices satisfy the relations $\mathbf{F}_{E_6} \mathbf{P}_{E_6^*} = \mathbf{F}_{E_6^*} \mathbf{P}_{E_6} = \frac{3}{8} m^2 \mathbf{I}$, so that when $m = \sqrt{8/3}$, $\mathbf{F}_{E_6} = (\mathbf{P}_{E_6^*})^{-1}$, $\mathbf{F}_{E_6^*} = (\mathbf{P}_{E_6})^{-1}$. Under these circumstances the pair of forms φ_{E_6} , $\pi_{E_6^*}$, and the pair $\varphi_{E_6^*}$, π_{E_6} , are in duality: $\varphi_{E_6} = \pi_{E_6^*}^\circ$, $\pi_{E_6^*} = \varphi_{E_6}^\circ$, $\varphi_{E_6^*} = \pi_{E_6}^\circ$, $\pi_{E_6} = \varphi_{E_6^*}^\circ$. Dual forms correspond to dual lattices, so the single geometric lattice corresponding to the forms φ_{E_6} , π_{E_6} is dual to the geometric lattice corresponding to $\varphi_{E_6^*}$, $\pi_{E_6^*}$. This pair of geometric lattices is the pair of root lattices E_6 and E_6^* .

The above representations for φ_{E_6} , $\varphi_{E_6^*}$ can equally well be considered as representations for the dual forms $\pi_{E_6^*}^\circ = \varphi_{E_6}$, $\pi_{E_6}^\circ = \varphi_{E_6^*}$, which shows that $\pi_{E_6^*}^\circ$, $\pi_{E_6}^\circ$ lie interior to the domains $\Phi_{E_6^*}$, Φ_{E_6} determined, respectively, by $\pi_{E_6^*}$, π_{E_6} . Since

$\pi_{E_6} = \varphi_{E_6^*}^\circ$, $\pi_{E_6^*} = \varphi_{E_6}^\circ$, the original forms have *eutaxy* (see Section 2) representations identicle to those for φ_{E_6} , $\varphi_{E_6^*}$,

$$\pi_{E_6}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{m}{12} \sum_{\mathbf{s} \in \mathcal{S}_{E_6^*}^+} (\mathbf{s} \cdot \mathbf{x})^2, \quad \pi_{E_6^*}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{m}{16} \sum_{\mathbf{s} \in \mathcal{S}_{E_6}^+} (\mathbf{s} \cdot \mathbf{x})^2;$$

the summation again is over an oriented subset of minimal vectors for the reciprocal forms, respectively, the forms $\varphi_{E_6^*}$, φ_{E_6} .

The symmetrical arrangement provided by the domains, $\Phi_{E_6^*}$, Φ_{E_6} , is remarkable – it is a rare occurance that forms on the central rays of adjacent domains correspond to dual geometric lattices. While the lattices are dual, the forms φ_{E_6} , $\varphi_{E_6^*}$ are not. These forms fit into the following intricate pattern: φ_{E_6} is dual to $\pi_{E_6^*}$, which in turn is arithmetically equivalent to $\varphi_{E_6^*}$ and, $\varphi_{E_6^*}$ is dual to π_{E_6} , which in turn is arithmetically equivalent to φ_{E_6} . This pattern is known since the times of Korkine and Zolotareff (1873). See also Coxeter (1951). Barnes (1957) showed that there is only one arithmetic type of wall between E_6 and E_6^* .

3.0.1 The invariance groups for the forms φ_{E_6} and $\varphi_{E_6^*}$.

The point group for the root lattice E_6 is the product of the two element group generated by central inversion and the reflection group E_6 , and has order $2^8 3^4 5$. There is a representation $\mathcal{G}_{E_6} \subset GL(6, \mathbb{Z})$ that is the invariance group of the perfect form φ_{E_6} , with the following characterization: $\mathbf{g} \in \mathcal{G}_{E_6}$ if and only if $\varphi_{E_6}(\mathbf{g}\mathbf{x}) = \varphi_{E_6}(\mathbf{x})$. There is a second representation $\mathcal{G}_{E_6^*}$ that is the invariance group of the form $\varphi_{E_6^*}$.

The dual group (representation) $\mathcal{G}_{E_6}^\circ$ is the invariance group of the perfect form $\pi_{E_6^*}$, and the dual group (representation) $\mathcal{G}_{E_6^*}^\circ$ is the invariance group of the form π_{E_6} . The subgroup $\mathcal{G}_{E_6}^\circ$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{G}_{E_6}^\circ = \{(\mathbf{g}^{-1})^T | \mathbf{g} \in \mathcal{G}_{E_6}\},$$

where $\mathbf{g}^\circ = (\mathbf{g}^{-1})^T$ is the matrix for the dual transformation; there is a similar definition for the subgroup $\mathcal{G}_{E_6^*}^\circ$. From the arithmetic equivalences $\mathcal{P}_{E_6^*} = \mathbf{U}_* \mathcal{S}_{E_6^*}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{E_6} = \mathbf{U}_* \mathcal{S}_{E_6}$, it follows that $\mathcal{G}_{E_6}^\circ = \mathbf{U}_* \mathcal{G}_{E_6^*} \mathbf{U}_*^{-1}$ and $\mathcal{G}_{E_6^*}^\circ = \mathbf{U}_* \mathcal{G}_{E_6} \mathbf{U}_*^{-1}$, where $\mathbf{U}_* \in GL(6, \mathbb{Z})$ was described above.

Proposition 3.2 *The subgroups $\mathcal{G}_{E_6}, \mathcal{G}_{E_6^*}, \mathcal{G}_{E_6}^\circ, \mathcal{G}_{E_6^*}^\circ \subset GL(6, \mathbb{Z})$ are the full invariance groups of the respective sets of vectors $\mathcal{S}_{E_6}, \mathcal{S}_{E_6^*}, \mathcal{P}_{E_6^*}, \mathcal{P}_{E_6}$, and act transitively on these sets.*

The remaining sections will be devoted to the proof of the *main theorem* that provides for a counterexample to the refinement hypothesis:

Theorem 3.3 (main) *The segment $t\varphi_{E_6} + (1-t)\varphi_{E_6^*}$, $0 \leq t \leq 1$ has forms of 5 L-types: $t = 0$, $0 < t < 1/2$, $t = 1/2$, $1/2 < t < 1$, $t = 1$. The wall between the perfect domains $\Phi(\mathcal{P}_{E_6})$ and $\Phi(\mathcal{P}_{E_6^*})$ crosses this segment at point $\frac{2}{5}\varphi_{E_6} + \frac{3}{5}\varphi_{E_6^*}$.*

4 L -types

4.1 Commensurate Delaunay Tilings

A convex polyhedron P in $\mathbb{R}^n$ is called a $\mathbb{Z}^n$ -polyhedron if any face F of P is $\text{conv}(\text{aff } F \cap \mathbb{Z}^n)$. A $\mathbb{Z}^n$ -tiling is a face-to-face tiling of $\mathbb{R}^n$ by convex $\mathbb{Z}^n$ -polyhedra. If T_1, T_2 are two convex tilings of $\mathbb{R}^n$, one can define the intersection tiling of T_1 and T_2 : the (open) tiles of this tiling are all non-empty intersections of (open) tiles of T_1 and T_2 . The intersection tiling of two $\mathbb{Z}^n$ -tilings is not always a $\mathbb{Z}^n$ -tiling; it is a $\mathbb{Z}^n$ -tiling if and only if the vertex set of the intersection tiling is $\mathbb{Z}^n$.

Definition 4.1 *Let P_1 and P_2 be two $\mathbb{Z}^n$ -polyhedra. They are called commensurate if all the faces of $P_1 \cap P_2$ are $\mathbb{Z}^n$ -polyhedra.*

In particular, two $\mathbb{Z}^n$ -polytopes P_1 and P_2 are commensurate if the vertex set of $P_1 \cap P_2$ belongs to $\mathbb{Z}^n$.

Definition 4.2 *Let T_1, T_2 be two $\mathbb{Z}^n$ -tilings. They are called commensurate if their intersection tiling consist of $\mathbb{Z}^n$ -polyhedra only.*

In particular, the vertex set of the intersection tiling of T_1 and T_2 must be a subset of $\mathbb{Z}^n$ (possibly empty).

Lemma 4.3 *Assume that lattice tilings $\mathcal{D}(\varphi_1), \mathcal{D}(\varphi_2)$ of $\mathbb{Z}^n$ are Delaunay with respect to the PQFs φ_1 and φ_2 . Also assume that C_1, C_2 are closed Delaunay cells for $\mathcal{D}(\varphi_1)$ and $\mathcal{D}(\varphi_2)$. If $C_1 \cap C_2 \cap \mathbb{Z}^d \neq \emptyset$, then $C = \text{conv}(C_1 \cap C_2 \cap \mathbb{Z}^d)$ is a closed Delaunay cell for all intermediate forms $\varphi_t = (1-t)\varphi_1 + t\varphi_2$, where $0 < t < 1$.*

Proof. Since C_1 is Delaunay, there is a scalar c_1 and vector $\mathbf{p}_1$ so that $f_1(\mathbf{x}) = c_1 + \mathbf{p}_1 \cdot \mathbf{x} + \varphi_1(\mathbf{x})$ is zero-valued on the vertices of C_1 , but has positive values on all other elements of $\mathbb{Z}^d$. Therefore, the equation $f_1(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ is for an empty ellipsoid circumscribing C_1 . There is a similar function for C_2 , so that $f_2(\mathbf{x}) = c_2 + \mathbf{p}_2 \cdot \mathbf{x} + \varphi_2(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ is the equation of an empty ellipsoid circumscribing C_2 . For $0 < t < 1$, the function $f_t(\mathbf{x}) = (1-t)f_1(\mathbf{x}) + tf_2(\mathbf{x})$ is zero-valued on the vertices of C , and positive on all other elements of $\mathbb{Z}^d$. Therefore, C is circumscribed by the empty ellipsoid with equation $f_t(\mathbf{x}) = 0$, and is Delaunay with respect to the form $\varphi_t = (1-t)\varphi_1 + t\varphi_2$. ■

Lemma 4.4 *If Delaunay tilings $\mathcal{D}(f)$ and $\mathcal{D}(g)$ of $\mathbb{Z}^n$ with respect to PQFs f and g are commensurate, then their intersection $\mathbb{Z}^n$ -tiling is Delaunay with respect to $\alpha f + \beta g$ for any $\alpha, \beta > 0$. Conversely, if for all $0 < t < 1$ the Delaunay tiling of $\mathbb{Z}^n$ with respect to $tf + (1-t)g$ is the same, then Delaunay tilings $\mathcal{D}(f)$ and $\mathcal{D}(g)$ of $\mathbb{Z}^n$ are commensurate.*

Proof. $\implies$) Since $\mathcal{D}(f)$ and $\mathcal{D}(g)$ are commensurate, any cell C of the intersection tiling of $\mathcal{D}(f)$ and $\mathcal{D}(g)$ can be written as $C = \text{conv}(C_1 \cap C_2 \cap \mathbb{Z}^d)$, where C_1, C_2 are closed Delaunay cells for $\mathcal{D}(\varphi_1)$ and $\mathcal{D}(\varphi_2)$. By the above lemma, C is Delaunay for $\alpha f + \beta g$ for any $\alpha, \beta > 0$.

$\impliedby$) If C is a Delaunay cell with respect to $tf + (1-t)g$, then, by a standard continuity argument, there are $\mathbb{Z}^n$ -polyhedra C_f, C_g which are Delaunay relative to f and g respectively, such that $C \subseteq C_f$ and $C \subseteq C_g$. Therefore, $C = C_f \cap C_g = \text{conv}(C_f \cap C_g \cap \mathbb{Z}^d)$. Thus, all cells of the Delaunay tiling defined by $tf + (1-t)g$ are the intersections of the Delaunay cells of $\mathcal{D}(f)$ and $\mathcal{D}(g)$; This implies that $\mathcal{D}(f)$ and $\mathcal{D}(g)$ are commensurate. ■

The following Proposition directly follows from Lemma 4.4.

Proposition 4.5 *Two forms f and g belong to the same L -cone (not type!) if and only if their Delaunay tilings $\mathcal{D}(f)$ and $\mathcal{D}(g)$ are commensurate.*

5 G-topes and G*-topes

In this section we consider the Delaunay tilings for $\mathbb{Z}^6$ relative to the forms φ_{E_6} and $\varphi_{E_6^*}$. More specifically, we study the Delaunay tilings of forms lying on the segment joining φ_{E_6} and $\varphi_{E_6^*}$ of $\mathfrak{P}(n)$. These are affinely equivalent to the Delaunay tilings for the root lattice E_6 and the dual lattice E_6^* . Two Delaunay cells are said to belong to the same homology class if one of them can be obtained from the other by the composition of a lattice translation and inversions.

Our discussion is mostly descriptive and adapted specifically for studying the change of L -type along the segment joining forms φ_{E_6} and $\varphi_{E_6^*}$. More information about these tilings can be found in Coxeter (1995), and Baranovski (1991).

Tiling with G-topes. Consider the 45 $\mathcal{L}_{E_6}$ -triangles:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_G^1 &= \text{conv}\{[0; 0^5], [0; 1, 0^4], [2; 0, 1^4]\} \times 5, & \Delta_G^2 &= \text{conv}\{[3; 1^5], [-1; -1, 0^4], [0; 1, 0^4]\} \times 5, \\ \Delta_G^3 &= \text{conv}\{[1; 0, 1^2, 0^2], [1; 0^3, 1^2], [0; 1, 0^4]\} \times 15, \\ \Delta_G^4 &= \text{conv}\{[1; 1^2, 0^3], [-1; 0, -1, 0^3], [2; 0, 1^4]\} \times 20\end{aligned}$$

have a common centroid $\mathbf{c}_G = \frac{1}{3}[2; 1^5]$. In these triples of points admissible positions of non-zero components can be determined from that the affine centroid is always at $\mathbf{c}_G = \frac{1}{3}[2; 1^5]$. The convex hull is a polytope with 27 vertices, the *Gosset polytope* G (usually denoted by 2_{21}), which we call the *reference G-tope*. There are 54 homologous copies of G that fit together facet-to-facet around the origin to form the star at the origin. This arrangement can be extended to a tiling by G -topes, which is the Delaunay tiling $\mathcal{D}_{E_6}$ for $\mathbb{Z}^6$ determined by φ_{E_6} (e.g. Baranovskii (1991)).

These 45 triangles inscribed in G are $\mathcal{L}_{E_6}$ -triangles because the edge vectors:

$$\begin{aligned} E_G^1 &= \{\pm[2; -1, 1^4], \pm[-2; 0, -1^4], \pm[0; 1, 0^4]\} \times 5, \\ E_G^2 &= \{\pm[-4; -2, -1^4], \pm[1; 2, 0^4], \pm[3; 0, 1^4]\} \times 5, \\ E_G^3 &= \{\pm[0; 0, -1^2, 1^2], \pm[-1; 1, 0^2, -1^2], \pm[1; -1, 1^2, 0^2]\} \times 15, \\ E_G^4 &= \{\pm[-2; -1, -2, 0^3], \pm[3; 0, 2, 1^3], \pm[-1; 1, 0, -1^3]\} \times 20, \end{aligned}$$

are subsets of $\mathcal{L}_{E_6}$. This is the set of 270 long vectors for φ_{E_6} , and is defined by

$$\mathcal{L}_{E_6} = \{\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{Z}^6 | \varphi_{E_6}(\mathbf{z}) = 2m\};$$

$2m$ is the *second minimum* for φ_{E_6} , which is the minimal value assumed on the non-zero elements of $\mathbb{Z}^6$ not belonging to $\mathcal{S}_{E_6}$.

As is indicated by the notation, these inscribed triangles belong to four $\mathcal{A}_5$ -classes of size 5, 5, 15, and 20, where $\mathcal{A}_5$ is the subgroup of permutations of the last five coordinates. Each long vector appears only once in the list, so the 45 edge sets account for the $270 = 45 \times 6$ long vectors for φ_{E_6} .

The group $\mathcal{G}_{E_6}$ has the following useful characterization: $\mathcal{G}_{E_6} \subset GL(6, \mathbb{Z})$ is the *stability group of the set of short vectors $\mathcal{S}_{E_6}$* . The following theorem gives information on $\mathcal{G}_{E_6}$ (see e.g. Coxeter (1995) or Baranovskii (1991)).

Theorem 5.1 (Coxeter) *The group $\mathcal{G}_{E_6}$ acts transitively on the 72 elements of $\mathcal{S}_{E_6}$, the 270 elements of $\mathcal{L}_{E_6}$, and, the 54 G -topes in the star at the origin.*

5.0.1 The inscribed long triangles.

The triangle Δ_G^1 has a vertex at the origin and is inscribed in G . There are five such $\mathcal{L}_{E_6}$ -triangles that belong to a single $\mathcal{A}_5$ class and together have ten vertices at distance $2m$ from the origin. These vertices, which are given by $\{\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2, \dots, \mathbf{r}_5\} = \{[0; 1, 0^4] \times 5\}$, $\{\mathbf{b}_1, \mathbf{b}_2, \dots, \mathbf{b}_5\} = \{[2; 0, 1^4] \times 5\}$, are the vertices of a five-dimensional cross-polytope. The five diagonals intersect at $\frac{1}{2}[2; 1^5]$, and the ten diagonal vectors are given by

$$\mathcal{L}_1 = \{\pm(\mathbf{b}_1 - \mathbf{r}_1), \pm(\mathbf{b}_2 - \mathbf{r}_2), \dots, \pm(\mathbf{b}_5 - \mathbf{r}_5)\} = \{\pm[2; -1, 1^4] \times 5\},$$

and belong to a single parity class. If $\mathbf{g} \in \mathcal{G}_{E_6}(G)$, which is the stability group for G , then $\mathbf{g}$ must fix the center $\frac{1}{2}[2; 1^5]$ and therefore belong to the stability group of the cross-polytope.

The group of linear transformations that map the cross-polytope onto itself has order $2^5 \times 5!$, and can be written as the product $\mathcal{I}_5 \times \mathcal{A}_5$; the subgroup $\mathcal{I}_5$ inverts arbitrary numbers of axes, and $\mathcal{A}_5$ permutes the red vertices $\{\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2, \dots, \mathbf{r}_5\}$ by permuting the last five co-ordinates. The $\mathcal{A}_5$ -action clearly stabilizes the set $\mathcal{S}_{E_6}$, and therefore $\mathcal{A}_5 \subset \mathcal{G}_{E_6}(G)$.

The transformations corresponding to

$$\mathbf{i}_1 = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{i}_{12} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 2 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

belong to $\mathcal{I}_5$. The first inverts the first axis of the cross-polytope so that

$$\mathbf{i}_1[\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2, \dots, \mathbf{r}_5; \mathbf{b}_1, \mathbf{b}_2, \dots, \mathbf{b}_5] = [\mathbf{b}_1, \mathbf{r}_2, \dots, \mathbf{r}_5; \mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{b}_2, \dots, \mathbf{b}_5],$$

but is non-integral and therefore not an element of $\mathcal{G}_{E_6}(G)$. The second transformation inverts the first two axes so that

$$\mathbf{i}_{12}[\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2, \dots, \mathbf{r}_5; \mathbf{b}_1, \mathbf{b}_2, \dots, \mathbf{b}_5] = [\mathbf{b}_1, \mathbf{b}_2, \mathbf{r}_3, \dots, \mathbf{r}_5; \mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2, \mathbf{b}_3, \dots, \mathbf{b}_5].$$

A direct calculation shows this transformation leaves $\mathcal{S}_{E_6}$ invariant, so $\mathbf{i}_{12} \in \mathcal{G}_{E_6}(G)$. Similarly, there are matrices $\mathbf{i}_{13}, \mathbf{i}_{14}, \mathbf{i}_{15} \in \mathcal{G}_{E_6}(G)$ that induce inversions of the pairs of axes indicated by the subscripts, and together these four inversions generate the subgroup $\mathcal{I}_5^+$. An arbitrary element $\mathbf{i} \in \mathcal{I}_5^+$ inverts an even number of axes of the cross-polytope.

Proposition 5.2 $\mathcal{G}_{E_6}(G) = \mathcal{I}_5^+ \times \mathcal{A}_5$, where the $\mathcal{A}_5$ -action permutes the last four co-ordinates. $|\mathcal{G}_{E_6}(\Delta_G^1)| = 2^4 \times 4!$.

Proof. This follows immediately from the preceeding discussion. ■

Corollary 5.3 $\mathcal{G}_{E_6}$ acts transitively on the 54 G -topes in the star of G -topes at the origin.

Proof. $|\mathcal{G}_{E_6}|/|\mathcal{G}_{E_6}(G)| = |\mathcal{G}_{E_6}|/|\mathcal{I}_5^+ \times \mathcal{A}_5| = 2^8 3^4 5 / 2^4 5! = 54$. ■

The $\mathcal{G}_{E_6}$ -action maps the cross-polytope centered at $\frac{1}{2}[2; 1^5]$ to 53 others, making 54 in total. Since cross-polytopes are centrally symmetric, opposite G -topes have cross-polytopes that are translates. In particular, the cross-polytopes for G and $-G$ are homologous. Consequently, there are 27 sets of diagonal vectors, each with 10 long vectors belonging to the same parity class. These sets of are given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_1 &= \{\pm[2; -1, 1^4] \times 5\} \times 1; & \mathcal{L}_4 &= \{\pm[1; 2, 0^4] \times 5\} \times 1; \\ \mathcal{L}_2 &= \{\pm[-2; 0 - 1^4], \pm[4; 2, 1^4], \pm[0; 0, -1^2, 1^2], \pm[0; 0, -1, 1, -1, 1], \pm[0; 0, -1, 1^2, -1]\} \times 5; \\ \mathcal{L}_3 &= \{\pm[0; 1, 0^4], \pm[2; 1, 2, 0^3], \pm[2; 1, 0, 2, 0^2], \pm[2; 1, 0^2, 2, 0], \pm[2; 1, 0^3, 2]\} \times 5; \\ \mathcal{L}_5 &= \{\pm[3; 0, 1^4], \pm[-1; 0, 1, -1^3], \pm[-1; 0, -1, 1, -1^2], \\ &\quad \pm[-1; 0, 1^2, -1, 1], \pm[-1; 0, -1^3, 1]\} \times 5; \\ \mathcal{L}_6 &= \{\pm[-3; -2, 0, -1^3], \pm[-3; 0, -2, -1^3], \pm[1; 0^2, -1, 1^2], \\ &\quad \pm[1; 0^2, 1, -1, 1], \pm[1; 0^2, 1^2, -1]\} \times 10. \end{aligned}$$

These parity classes are grouped into six $\mathcal{A}_5$ -classes, as indicated by the notation. This gives a second accounting for the 270 elements of $\mathcal{L}_{E_6}$ in geometric terms.

More directly related to our main line of argument are the following results on $\Delta_G^1 \subset G$.

Corollary 5.4 $\mathcal{G}_{E_6}(\Delta_G^1) = \mathcal{I}_5^+ \times \mathcal{A}_4$, where $\mathcal{A}_4$ is permutation of the last four coordinates.

Proof. This statement follows from the geometric description of Δ_G^1 above, and the observation that $\mathcal{G}_{E_6}(\Delta_G^1) \subset \mathcal{G}_{E_6}(G)$. ■

Corollary 5.5 There are 270 $\mathcal{L}_{E_6}$ -triangles $\mathcal{G}_{E_6}$ -equivalent to Δ_G^1 , which are inscribed in G -topes in the star at the origin.

Proof. It follows from the equality $|\mathcal{G}_{E_6}|/|\mathcal{G}_{E_6}(\Delta_G^1)| = |\mathcal{G}_{E_6}|/|\mathcal{I}_5^+ \times \mathcal{A}_4| = 2^8 3^4 5 / 2^4 4! = 270$ that there are 270 $\mathcal{L}_{E_6}$ -triangles $\mathcal{G}_{E_6}$ -equivalent to Δ_G^1 . That these are inscribed in G -topes in the star follows from *Corollary 5.3*. ■

Tiling with G^* -topes. The three $\mathcal{L}_{E_6^*}$ -triangles

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_T^1 &= \text{conv}\{[0^6], [0^4, 1, 0], [0^5, 1]\}, \\ \Delta_T^2 &= \text{conv}\{[2, 0, 1^4], [-1, 0^2, -1, 0^2], [-1, 0, -1, 0^3]\}, \\ \Delta_T^3 &= \text{conv}\{[0, 1, 0^4], [1, 0^3, 1^2], [-1^2, 0^4]\},\end{aligned}$$

have a common centroid $\mathbf{c}_T = \frac{1}{3}[0^4, 1^2]$, and belong to complementary 2-spaces. Their convex hull is a lattice polytope with nine vertices, which we denote by G^* . This *reference G^* -tope* is a tile in the Delaunay tiling $\mathcal{D}_{E_6^*}$ determined by $\varphi_{E_6^*}$. All others are isometrically equivalent to G^* relative to $\varphi_{E_6^*}$. In total, there are 40 homology classes of G^* -topes, accounting for $720 = 2 \times 9 \times 40$ G^* -topes in the star at the origin.

These inscribed triangles are $\mathcal{L}_{E_6^*}$ -triangles because the edge vectors

$$\begin{aligned}E_T^1 &= \{\pm[0^4, -1, 1], \pm[0^5, -1], \pm[0^4, 1, 0]\}, \\ E_T^2 &= \{\pm[0^2, 1, -1, 0^2], \pm[-3, 0, -2, -1^3], \pm[3, 0, 1, 2, 1^2]\}, \\ E_T^3 &= \{\pm[2, 1, 0^2, 1^2], \pm[-1, -2, 0^4], \pm[-1, 1, 0^2, -1^2]\},\end{aligned}$$

belong to $\mathcal{L}_{E_6^*}$, the set of 72 long vectors for $\varphi_{E_6^*}$. The long vectors for $\varphi_{E_6^*}$ are defined by

$$\mathcal{L}_{E_6^*} = \{\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{Z}^6 \mid \varphi_{E_6^*}(\mathbf{z}) = \frac{3}{2}m\},$$

where $\frac{3}{2}m$ is the second minimum for $\varphi_{E_6^*}$.

There is a second representation $\mathcal{G}_{E_6^*} \subset GL(6, \mathbb{Z})$ for the point group for E_6 , which can be characterized as either the invariance group for the form $\varphi_{E_6^*}$ or the stabilizer of $\mathcal{S}_{E_6}$. The following theorem gives the essential information we will need on this action (see e.g. Baranovskii (1991)).

Theorem 5.6 *The group $\mathcal{G}_{E_6^*}$ acts transitively on the 54 elements of $\mathcal{S}_{E_6^*}$, the 72 elements of $\mathcal{L}_{E_6^*}$, and, the 270 G^* -topes in the star at the origin.*

From the arithmetic equivalences $\mathcal{P}_{E_6^*} = \mathbf{U}_* \mathcal{S}_{E_6^*}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{E_6} = \mathbf{U}_* \mathcal{S}_{E_6}$, it follows that $\mathcal{G}_{E_6^*}^\circ = \mathbf{U}_* \mathcal{G}_{E_6^*}^\circ \mathbf{U}_*^{-1}$ and $\mathcal{G}_{E_6^*}^\circ = \mathbf{U}_* \mathcal{G}_{E_6}^\circ \mathbf{U}_*^{-1}$, where $\mathbf{U}_* \in GL(6, \mathbb{Z})$ was described above. The dual groups $\mathcal{G}_{E_6^*}^\circ = \{\mathbf{g}^\circ | \mathbf{g} \in \mathcal{G}_{E_6^*}\}$ and $\mathcal{G}_{E_6}^\circ = \{\mathbf{g}^\circ | \mathbf{g} \in \mathcal{G}_{E_6}\}$, where $\mathbf{g}^\circ = (\mathbf{g}^{-1})^T$ is the matrix for the dual transformation.

The proof of following corollary will be included into the full-length version of this paper.

Corollary 5.7 *There are 270 $\mathcal{P}_{E_6^*}$ -triangles with a vertex at the origin. These are $\mathcal{G}_{E_6^*}^\circ$ -equivalent.*

The $\mathcal{S}_{E_6^*}$ -triangle

$$\Delta_T^4 = \text{conv}\{[0; 0^5], [0; 1, 0^4], [2; 0, 1^4]\}$$

is a 2-face of G^* , and is equal to the $\mathcal{L}_{E_6}$ -triangle Δ_G^1 . The edge set $E_T^4 = E_G^1 = \mathcal{L}_{E_6} \cap \mathcal{S}_{E_6^*}$.

Corollary 5.8 *There are 720 $\mathcal{S}_{E_6^*}$ -triangles with a vertex at the origin. These are 2-faces of G^* -topes, and are $\mathcal{G}_{E_6^*}$ -equivalent.*

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of the arithmetic equivalences $\mathcal{S}_{E_6^*} = \mathbf{U}_*^{-1} \mathcal{P}_{E_6^*}$, $\mathcal{G}_{E_6^*} = \mathbf{U}_*^{-1} \mathcal{G}_{E_6^*} \mathbf{U}_*$, and *Corollary 5.7*. ■

Long triangles inscribed in G^* -topes. The 72 long vectors for $\varphi_{E_6^*}$ are given by $\mathcal{L}_{E_6^*} = \mathcal{L}_b \cup \mathcal{L}_c$, where $\mathcal{L}_c = \mathcal{S}_3$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_b = & \{ \pm[3, 0, 1^4], \pm[1, 2, 0^4], \pm[0^2; 1, 0^3], \pm[2, 1; 0, 1^3], \\ & \pm[1, -1; 0, 1^3], \pm[3, 0; 2, 1^3], \pm[1, -1; 1^2, 0^2] \} \end{aligned}$$

The proof of the following lemma will be included into the full-length exposition of our counterexample.

Lemma 5.9 *There are 120 homology classes of $\mathcal{L}_{E_6^*}$ -triangles.*

Proposition 5.10 *The lattice polytope T is a G^* -tope if and only if it is the convex hull of three $\mathcal{L}_{E_6^*}$ -triangles with a common centroid.*

Proof. Each G^* -tope is the convex hull of three $\mathcal{L}_{E_6^*}$ -triangles with a common centroid. Since there are 40 homology classes of G^* -topes, there are 120 homology classes of $\mathcal{L}_{E_6^*}$ -triangles inscribed in G^* -topes. By *Lemma 5.9* this accounts for all the homology classes of $\mathcal{L}_{E_6^*}$ -triangles, from which the proof of the Proposition immediately follows. ■

6 An embedded copy of D_4

Since $\mathcal{P}_1 = \{\pm \mathbf{p}_1, \pm \mathbf{p}_2, \pm \mathbf{p}_3\} = \{\pm[-3; 2^5], \pm[1; 0, -1^4], \pm[2; -2, -1^4]\}$ is the edge set for a $\mathcal{P}_{E_6^*}$ -triangle, this set spans a two-dimensional subspace. Let Λ_{D_4} be the four-dimensional sublattice defined by $\mathbb{Z}^6 \cap \mathcal{P}_1^\perp$, and let φ_{D_4} be the restriction of φ_{E_6} to Λ_{D_4} . The minimal vectors for φ_{D_4} are given by $\mathcal{S}_{D_4} = \mathcal{S}_{E_6} \cap \mathcal{P}_1^\perp = \mathcal{S}_3$. These minimal vectors determine φ_{D_4} , and $|\mathcal{S}_{D_4}| = 24$. This is sufficient to identify φ_{D_4} as a metrical form for the root lattice D_4 .

The set of long vectors for φ_{D_4} is given by

$$\mathcal{L}_{D_4} = \mathcal{L}_{E_6} \cap \mathcal{P}_1^\perp = (\mathcal{L}_1 \cap \mathcal{P}_1^\perp) \cup (\mathcal{L}_2 \cap \mathcal{P}_1^\perp) \cup (\mathcal{L}_3 \cap \mathcal{P}_1^\perp),$$

which is the union of three parity classes of eight vectors each. These classes are the diagonal vectors for three orientations of cross polytopes, which tile Λ_{D_4} by translates. This is the Delaunay tiling $\mathcal{D}_{D_4}$ of Λ_{D_4} relative to the form φ_{D_4} . This Delaunay tiling can also be realized as the four-dimensional section $\mathcal{D}_{E_6} \cap \mathcal{P}_1^\perp$.

The group $\mathcal{G}_{D_4}$. Let $\mathcal{G}_{D_4} = \mathcal{G}_{E_6} \cap \mathcal{G}_{E_6^*}$. Since by *Proposition 3.2* $\mathcal{G}_{E_6}$ is the stabilizer of $\mathcal{S}_{E_6} = \mathcal{S}_2 \cup \mathcal{S}_3$, and $\mathcal{G}_{E_6^*}$ is the stabilizer of $\mathcal{S}_{E_6^*} = \mathcal{S}_1 \cup \mathcal{S}_2$, $\mathcal{G}_{D_4}$ can be characterized as the subgroup of $GL(6, \mathbb{Z})$ that stabilizes each of the sets $\mathcal{S}_1, \mathcal{S}_2, \mathcal{S}_3$.

The short and long vectors for φ_{D_4} relate to the sets $\mathcal{S}_1$ and $\mathcal{S}_3$ in the following way

$$\mathcal{S}_{D_4} = \mathcal{S}_3; \mathcal{L}_1 \cup \mathcal{L}_2 \cup \mathcal{L}_3 = \mathcal{S}_1 \cup \mathcal{L}_{D_4},$$

where the last union is disjoint. The set $\mathcal{S}_1 = \{\pm \mathbf{l}_1, \pm \mathbf{l}_2, \pm \mathbf{l}_3\} = \{\pm[2; -1, 1^4], \pm[0; 1, 0^4], \pm[-2; 0, -1^4]\}$ is the edge set E_G^1 for the triangle Δ_G^1 , which is inscribed in G .

The proof of the following proposition will be included in to a full-length paper.

Proposition 6.1 $\mathcal{G}_{D_4} = \mathcal{G}_{E_6}(E_G^1) = \mathcal{G}_{E_6^*}(E_G^1)$.

There are six $\mathcal{L}_{E_6}$ -triangles with a vertex at the origin, and with edge set E_G^1 . These fit around the origin, edge-to-edge, to form a hexagon. The triangle Δ_G^1 is one of the tiles in this hexagon, and the other five are triangles homologous to it. Since $\mathcal{G}_{E_6}(\Delta_G^1) \subset \mathcal{G}_{E_6}(E_G^1) = \mathcal{G}_{D_4}$, it follows from *Proposition 5.2* and *Corollary 5.3* that $|\mathcal{G}_{D_4}| = |\mathcal{G}_{E_6}(E_G^1)| = 6|\mathcal{G}_{E_6}(\Delta_G^1)| = 2^8 3^2$. However, the point group for the lattice D_4 has order $2^7 3^2$, which is less than $|\mathcal{G}_{D_4}|$ by a factor of two. This discrepancy is explained by the following Corollary.

Corollary 6.2 *The restriction of $\mathcal{G}_{D_4}$ to Λ_{D_4} is a representation of the point group for the root lattice D_4 .*

Proof. Since the point group for D_4 is the only lattice point group for a four-dimensional lattice of order $2^7 3^2$, we need only show the kernel of the restriction map is a two element group. The kernel $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{G}_{D_4}$ is the subgroup that fixes each point in Λ_{D_4} .

The four diagonals $(\mathbf{b}_2 - \mathbf{r}_2), \dots, (\mathbf{b}_5 - \mathbf{r}_5)$, of the cross-polytope in the long layer of G form a basis for $\mathcal{P}_1^\perp$, and therefore an arbitrary element $\mathbf{k} \in \mathcal{K}$ must fix these diagonals. It follows that $\mathbf{k}$ must then fix the parity class $\mathcal{L}_1$. These two conditions can hold only if $\mathbf{k}$ maps $(\mathbf{b}_1 - \mathbf{r}_1)$ to $\pm(\mathbf{b}_1 - \mathbf{r}_1)$. By the geometric discussion of the group $\mathcal{G}_{E_6}(\Delta_G^1)$, it follows that $\mathbf{k} \in \{\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{i}_0\} \times \mathcal{I}_5^+ \subset \mathcal{G}_{D_4}$, where $\mathbf{i}_0$ is central inversion and $\mathbf{e}$ is the identity. Let $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{k}_1 \mathbf{k}_2$, where $\mathbf{k}_1 \in \{\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{i}_0\}$, $\mathbf{k}_2 \in \mathcal{I}_5^+$. If $\mathbf{k}_1 = \mathbf{e}$, then $\mathbf{k}_2$ must also equal $\mathbf{e}$, and $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{e}$. If $\mathbf{k}_1 = \mathbf{i}_0$, then $\mathbf{k}_2$ must invert the four diagonals $(\mathbf{b}_2 - \mathbf{r}_2), \dots, (\mathbf{b}_5 - \mathbf{r}_5)$, which uniquely determines the element $\mathbf{k}_2$. One of the ways to write $\mathbf{k}_2$ in this case is as the product $\mathbf{i}_{23} \mathbf{i}_{34}$, where $\mathbf{i}_{23}$ inverts the second and third axes of the cross-polytope, and $\mathbf{i}_{45}$ inverts the fourth and fifth. Therefore, when $\mathbf{k}_1 = \mathbf{i}_0$, $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{k}_0 = \mathbf{i}_0 \mathbf{i}_{23} \mathbf{i}_{34}$, and the matrix for $\mathbf{k}_0$ is given by $\mathcal{S}_{D_4}$

$$\mathbf{k}_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 0 & -2 & -2 & -2 & -2 \\ 2 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

It follows that $\mathcal{K} = \{\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{k}_0\}$, and is a two element group. ■

The faces of $\mathcal{D}_{D_4}$. The origin $\mathbf{0} \in \Lambda_{D_4}$, and the star of Delaunay tiles at the origin has 24 cross-polytopes, eight belonging to each orientation. The lower dimensional cells are $\mathcal{S}_{D_4}$ -simplexes.

Corollary 6.3 *For the tiling $\mathcal{D}_{D_4}$, the star of $\mathcal{D}_{D_4}$ -cells at the origin contains 24 edges, 96 triangles, 96 3-simplexes, and 24 cross-polytopes. For each dimension, the cells in the star are $\mathcal{G}_{D_4}$ -equivalent.*

Proof. By Corollary 6.2 the homomorphic image of $\mathcal{G}_{D_4}$ on Λ_{D_4} is a group of order $2^7 3^2$, which acts effectively on Λ_{D_4} , and is a representation of the point group for the lattice D_4 . The order of the full linear invariance group of a cross-polytope, attached to the origin in $\mathbb{R}^4$, is $2^3 \times 3!$. Since $2^7 3^2 / 24 = 2^3 \times 3!$, the stability group for each of the 24 cross-polytopes in the star has order $2^3 \times 3!$, and the $\mathcal{G}_{D_4}$ -orbit of each includes all 24 cross-polytopes. Since the stability group in $\mathcal{G}_{D_4}$ of any particular cross-polytope in the star is the full invariance group, all edges, all 2-faces and 3-faces of the cross-polytope, which are attached to the origin, are $\mathcal{G}_{D_4}$ -equivalent.

The number of edges attached to the origin is equal to the number of short vectors, which is 24. Each cross-polytope has 12 2-cells attached to the origin, and each

2-cell belongs to 3 cross-polytopes in the star. Therefore, there are $(24 \times 12)/3 = 96$ 2-cells attached to the origin. Each cross-polytope has 8 facets attached to the origin, and each belongs to a pair of cross-polytopes. Therefore, there are $(24 \times 8)/2 = 96$ 3-cells attached to the origin. ■

Equivalent facets of $\Phi(\mathcal{P}_{E_6^*})$ and $\Phi(\mathcal{P}_{E_6})$. Since $\mathcal{G}_{E_6}^\circ, \mathcal{G}_{E_6^*}^\circ \subset GL(6, \mathbb{Z})$ are the full invariance groups of the corresponding sets of perfect vectors $\mathcal{P}_{E_6^*}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{E_6}$, $\Phi(\mathcal{P}_{E_6^*})$ is invariant with respect to the action of $\mathcal{G}_{E_6}$, and $\Phi(\mathcal{P}_{E_6})$ is invariant with respect to the action of $\mathcal{G}_{E_6^*}$. The proof of the following corollary will be included in to a full-length paper.

Corollary 6.4 *The perfect domain $\Phi(\mathcal{P}_{E_6^*})$ has 45 facets that are $\mathcal{G}_{E_6}$ -equivalent to $\Phi(\mathcal{P}_{E_6^*} \cap \mathcal{P}_{E_6})$, and, the perfect domain $\Phi(\mathcal{P}_{E_6})$ has 45 facets that are $\mathcal{G}_{E_6^*}$ -equivalent to $\Phi(\mathcal{P}_{E_6^*} \cap \mathcal{P}_{E_6})$.*

The 45 $\mathcal{G}_{E_6}$ -equivalent facets of $\Phi(\mathcal{P}_{E_6^*})$ described in this Corollary are in one-to-one correspondence with the 45 possible edge sets for $\mathcal{L}_{E_6}$ -triangles inscribed in G -topes, and, the 45 $\mathcal{G}_{E_6^*}$ -equivalent facets of $\Phi(\mathcal{P}_{E_6})$ are in one-to-one correspondence with the 45 possible edge sets for $\mathcal{S}_{E_6^*}$ -triangles, which are 2-faces of G^* -topes.

7 Commensurate and incommensurate G^* -topes

A G^* -tope homologous to the reference G^* -tope is called a T -tope. Since $T \subset G$ this G^* -tope is commensurate with G , and therefore commensurate with the Delaunay tiling $\mathcal{D}_{E_6}$. We will count G^* -topes below, and discover that there are 24 homology classes of such commensurate G^* -tope.

There is a second *incommensurate* class of G^* -tope with representative Q , which is the convex hull of the three $\mathcal{L}_{E_6^*}$ -triangles

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_Q^1 &= \text{conv}\{[0^3, 1, 0^2], [0^4, 1, 0], [0^5, 1]\}, & \Delta_Q^2 &= \text{conv}\{[0, 1, 0^4], [-1^2, 0^4], [1, 0^2, 1^3]\}, \\ \Delta_Q^3 &= \text{conv}\{[2, 0, 1^4], [-1, 0^5], [-1, 0, -1, 0^3]\}, \end{aligned}$$

with common centroid $\mathbf{c}_Q = \frac{1}{3}[0^3, 1^3]$. In the counting exercise below we will find there are 16 homology classes of incommensurate G^* -topes, and will refer G^* -topes in any of these classes as a Q -topes. There are only two homology classes of G^* -tope, so the 24 commensurate classes and the 16 incommensurate classes sum to the required number of 40 homology classes of G^* -tope. the commensurate and incommensurate classes, and the 24 commensurate classes. There are sixteen homology classes of such G^* -topes, and we will refer to these as Q -topes.

An application of *Proposition 5.10* shows that Q is a G^* -tope. That Q is incommensurate with G is established by considering the the simplicial 3-faces $S_Q \subset Q$ and $S_G \subset G$. The simplex $S_Q = \text{conv}\{[0, 1, 0^4], [2, 0, 1^4], [-1^2, 0^4], [-1, 0^5]\} \subset Q$ is the

convex hull of an edge from each of the triangles Δ_Q^2, Δ_Q^3 , so is a 3-face of Q . The centroid $\frac{1}{4}[0^2, 1^4]$ is also the centroid of the simplex $S_G = \text{conv}\{[0^2, 1, 0^3], [0^3, 1, 0^2], [0^4, 1, 0], [0^5, 1]\}$, which is a 3-face of G . These simplexes lie in complementary 3-spaces, so satisfy the condition that $S_T \cap S_G = \frac{1}{4}[0^2; 1^4]$. The relatively open cells of the intesection polytope $Q \cap G$ are the intersections of relatively open cells of Q and G . For this reason the common centroid is a vertex of $Q \cap G$, and since it is non-integral, Q is incommensurate with G . Therefore Q is incommensurate with the Delaunay tiling $\mathcal{D}_{E_6}$.

Another important class lattice polytope is the class of *R-topes*; the lattice polytope $R = \text{conv}(S_Q \cup S_G)$ serves as an example. This R-tope has full dimension and eight vertices, so can be triangulated in just two ways. By taking the convex hull of S_Q with each 2-face of S_G , the four *blue simplexes* B^1, B^2, B^3, B^4 are formed; this collection is the star of simplexes in R with the 3-face S_Q . These blue simplexes tile R . The four *yellow simplexes*, Y^1, Y^2, Y^3, Y^4 , form a second star, each yellow simplex being the convex hull of S_G with one of the four 2-faces of S_Q . This is the collection of simplexes in R with the 3-face S_G . The yellow simplexes also tile R . Lattice polytopes such as R are refered to as *repartitioning complexes* in the literature on lattice Delaunay tilings. In the counting exercise below we will see that there are 12 homology classes of R-topes.

Stars of G-topes. In order to effectively study the geometry of Q-topes and R-topes we must first obtain information on the relationship between the perfect vectors $\mathcal{P}_{E_6^*}$, and the G-topes in the star of G-topes at the origin. If $\mathcal{V}(G)$ is the vertex set for G , then, relative to φ_{E_6} , the set vertices of G that are a distance m from the origin are given by $\mathcal{S}_{E_6}(G) = \mathcal{V}(G) \cap \mathcal{S}_{E_6}$, and the set vertices that are a distance $2m$ are given by $\mathcal{L}_{E_6}(G) = \mathcal{V}(G) \cap \mathcal{L}_{E_6}$. These *short* and *long layers* of vertices can also be characterized in terms of the perfect vector $\mathbf{p}_1 = [-3, 2^5]$ using the *incidence relations* for G-topes:

$$\mathcal{S}_{E_6}(G) = \{\mathbf{s} \in \mathcal{S}_{E_6} | \mathbf{p}_1 \cdot \mathbf{s} = 1\}; \mathcal{L}_{E_6}(G) = \{\mathbf{l} \in \mathcal{L}_{E_6} | \mathbf{p}_1 \cdot \mathbf{l} = 2\}.$$

The perfect vector $\mathbf{p}_1$ determines the *short* and *long layers* of vertices, which in turn determine G , so we write $G = G_{\mathbf{p}_1}$. This process can be reversed, and the incidence relations can be used to determine the perfect vector associated with G :

$$\mathbf{p}_1 = \mathcal{P}_G = \{\mathbf{p} \in \mathcal{P}_{E_6^*} | \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{s} = 1, \mathbf{s} \in \mathcal{S}_{E_6}(G)\} \cap \{\mathbf{p} \in \mathcal{P}_{E_6^*} | \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{l} = 2, \mathbf{l} \in \mathcal{L}_{E_6}(G)\}.$$

For this case the set $\mathcal{P}_G$ contains the single elements $\mathbf{p}_1$.

By *Proposition 3.2*, the incidence relations can be used to establish a one-to-one correspondence between the G -topes in the star at the origin, and the vectors of $\mathcal{P}_{E_6^*}$: if $\mathbf{p} \in \mathcal{P}_{E_6^*}$ then $G_{\mathbf{p}}$ is the corresponding G -tope in the star, and if G' is in the star, then $\mathbf{p}_{G'}$ is the corresponding perfect vector. This association can be extended to

cover arbitrary cells $C \in \mathcal{D}_{E_6}$ with a vertex at the origin. If $\mathcal{S}_{E_6}(C) = \mathcal{V}(C) \cap \mathcal{S}_{E_6}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{E_6}(C) = \mathcal{V}(C) \cap \mathcal{L}_{E_6}$ are the short and long layers for C , define

$$\mathcal{P}_C = \{\mathbf{p} \in \mathcal{P}_{E_6^*} | \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{s} = 1, \mathbf{s} \in \mathcal{S}_{E_6}(C)\} \cap \{\mathbf{p} \in \mathcal{P}_{E_6^*} | \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{l} = 2, \mathbf{l} \in \mathcal{L}_{E_6}(C)\}.$$

When $\dim(C) < 6$ the set $\mathcal{P}_C$ includes several perfect vectors. The short and long layers, and therefore C , can be recovered from $\mathcal{P}_C$ by again invoking the incidence relations:

$$\mathcal{S}_{E_6}(C) = \{\mathbf{s} \in \mathcal{S}_{E_6} | \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{s} = 1, \mathbf{p} \in \mathcal{P}_C\}; \mathcal{L}_{E_6}(C) = \{\mathbf{l} \in \mathcal{L}_{E_6} | \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{l} = 2, \mathbf{p} \in \mathcal{P}_C\}.$$

For a cell $C \in \mathcal{D}_{E_6}$, $\text{star}_G(C)$ is the collection of G-topes with face C . The following Proposition gives information on G-stars when C has a vertex at the origin.

Proposition 7.1 *If $\mathbf{0} \in C$, $\text{star}_G(C) = \{G_{\mathbf{p}} | \mathbf{p} \in \mathcal{P}_C\}$.*

Proof. This assertion follows from the discussion on incidence relations. ■

The geometry of R-topes. In the statement of the following lemma $\text{star}_G(S_G)$ is the collection of G-topes, which have the face S_G , and $\text{star}_{G^*}(S_Q)$ is the collection of G^* -topes, which have the face S_Q .

The proof of the following lemma will be included into the full-length paper.

Lemma 7.2 *$\text{star}_{G^*}(S_Q) = \{Q^1, \dots, Q^4\}$, where $Q^1, \dots, Q^4$ are Q -topes, $Q^1 = Q$, and the blue simplexes can be ordered so that $B^i = Q^i \cap R, i = 1, \dots, 4$. Similarly, $\text{star}_G(S_G) = \{G^1, \dots, G^4\}$, where $G^1, \dots, G^4$ are G -topes, $G^1 = G$, and the yellow simplexes can be ordered so that $Y^i = G^i \cap R, i = 1, \dots, 4$.*

Proposition 7.3 *R is commensurate with both $\mathcal{D}_{E_6}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{E_6^*}$.*

Proof. By Lemma 7.2, the intersection $R \cap \mathcal{D}_{E_6^*}$ is tiled by the blue lattice simplexes, so R is commensurate with $\mathcal{D}_{E_6^*}$. Similarly, the intersection $R \cap \mathcal{D}_{E_6}$ is tiled by the yellow lattice simplexes, so R is commensurate with $\mathcal{D}_{E_6}$. ■

The geometry of Q-topes. The convex hull of Δ_Q^1 with one edge from each of the other triangles $\Delta_Q^2, \Delta_Q^3 \subset Q$ has seven vertices, and is a lattice simplex in Q . Two edges can be selected in nine ways, so there are nine such simplexes, each with Δ_Q^1 as a 2-face. This is the star of simplexes in Q with the 2-face Δ_Q^1 , and this collection tiles Q . In this subsection we show how six of these simplexes are commensurate with both $\mathcal{D}_{E_6}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{E_6^*}$. We call them *white*. The other three are *blue*, and can be represented as the intersection of Q with three distinct R-topes.

There are two other collections of simplexes that tile Q , the star of simplexes in Q with the 2-face Δ_Q^2 , and the star with the 2-face Δ_Q^3 . However, both of these tilings play no role in our discussion. The reason for this is that Δ_Q^1 is a 2-cell in $\mathcal{D}_{E_6}$, but the other two triangles Δ_Q^2, Δ_Q^3 are not.

The proof of the following lemma will be included into the full-length paper.

Lemma 7.4 *star_G(Δ_Q^1) = $\{G^1, \dots, G^6\}$, where $G^1, \dots, G^6$ are G -topes and $G^1 = G$. Moreover, for $i = 1, \dots, 6$, $W^i = \text{conv}(G^i \cap Q \cap \mathbb{Z}^6)$ is a six-dimensional lattice simplex with the property that $\Delta_Q^1 \subset W^i$. We will refer to these simplexes as white.*

Lemma 7.5 *There are three R -topes R^1, R^2, R^3 , such that $R^1 = R$, and such that $B^i = R^i \cap Q, i = 1, \dots, 3$ are six-dimensional lattice simplexes with the 2-face Δ_Q^1 . Since these simplexes are the intersections of R -topes and a Q -tope they are blue.*

Proof. The three simplexes

$$\begin{aligned} S_Q^1 &= \text{conv}\{[0, 1, 0^4], [2, 0, 1^4], [-1^2, 0^4], [-1, 0^5]\}, \\ S_Q^2 &= \text{conv}\{[0, 1, 0^4], [2, 0, 1^4], [1, 0^2, 1^3], [-1, 0, -1, 0^3]\}, \\ S_Q^3 &= \text{conv}\{[-1^2, 0^4], [-1, 0^5], [1, 0^2, 1^3], [-1, 0, -1, 0^3]\}, \end{aligned}$$

are 3-faces of Q , and $S_Q^1 = S_Q$. Each is the convex hull of an edge from Δ_Q^2 and Δ_Q^3 . The three simplexes

$$\begin{aligned} S_G^1 &= \text{conv}\{[0^2, 1, 0^3], [0^3, 1, 0^2], [0^4, 1, 0], [0^5, 1]\}, \\ S_G^2 &= \text{conv}\{[2, 1, 0, 1^3], [0^3, 1, 0^2], [0^4, 1, 0], [0^5, 1]\}, \\ S_G^3 &= \text{conv}\{[-2, -1^2, 0^3], [0^3, 1, 0^2], [0^4, 1, 0], [0^5, 1]\}, \end{aligned}$$

are $\mathcal{S}_{D_4}$ -simplexes, and $S_G^1 = S_G$. The three centroids of the Q -simplexes S_Q^1, S_Q^2, S_Q^3 , are given by $\frac{1}{4}[0^2, 1^4], \frac{1}{4}[2, 1, 0, 2^3], \frac{1}{4}[-2, -1^2, 1^3]$, and these coincide with the centroids of the corresponding $\mathcal{S}_{D_4}$ -simplexes S_G^1, S_G^2, S_G^3 . The three $\mathcal{S}_{D_4}$ -simplexes are translates of closed 3-cells in $\mathcal{D}_{D_4}$, so by Proposition 6.3 belong to $\mathcal{G}_{D_4}$ -equivalent homology classes. It follows that the three lattice polytopes $R^1 = \text{conv}\{S_Q^1 \cup S_G^1\}$, $R^2 = \text{conv}\{S_Q^2 \cup S_G^2\}$, $R^3 = \text{conv}\{S_Q^3 \cup S_G^3\}$, belong to $\mathcal{G}_{D_4}$ -equivalent homology classes. Since $R^1 = \text{conv}\{S_Q^1 \cup S_G^1\} = \text{conv}\{S_Q \cup S_G\} = R$, these three lattice polytopes are R -topes.

Since by Lemma 7.5 $B^1 = Q \cap R^1 = Q \cap R$ is a six-dimensional blue lattice simplex, the intersections $B^2 = Q \cap R^2$, $B^3 = Q \cap R^3$, are other six-dimensional blue lattice simplexes. By construction, $\Delta_Q^1 \subset B^1, B^2, B^3$. ■

Lemma 7.6 *The star of simplexes in Q containing Δ_Q^1 , has six white simplexes $W^1, W^2, \dots, W^6$, and three blue simplexes B^1, B^2, B^3 . The six white simplexes are commensurate with $\mathcal{D}_{E_6}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{E_6^*}$, and the three blue simplexes are commensurate with $\mathcal{D}_{E_6^*}$, but incommensurate with $\mathcal{D}_{E_6}$.*

Proof. The simplexes $W^1, W^2, \dots, W^6$, are those described in the statement of Lemma 7.4. Since $W^i \subset G^i \cap Q, i = 1, \dots, 6$, these white simplexes are commensurate with both $\mathcal{D}_{E_6}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{E_6^*}$.

The simplexes B^1, B^2, B^3 , are those described in the statement of Lemma 7.5. Since $B^i = R^i \cap Q$, the blue simplex B^i is commensurate with $\mathcal{D}_{E_6^*}$. The simplex S_Q^i is a 3-face of B^i , and the simplex S_G^i is a closed 3-cells in $\mathcal{D}_{E_6}$. Since the intersection $S_Q^i \cap S_G^i$ is the centroid of each of these cells, S_Q^i and S_G^i , the simplex B^i is incommensurate with $\mathcal{D}_{E_6}$. ■

T-topes, Q-topes and R-topes. The intersection properties of any G^* -tope with respect to $\mathcal{D}_{E_6}$, are invariant with respect to the $\mathcal{G}_{D_4}$ -action. Therefore, any G^* -tope $\mathcal{G}_{D_4}$ -equivalent to T is commensurate with $\mathcal{D}_{E_6}$, and any G^* -tope $\mathcal{G}_{D_4}$ -equivalent to Q is incommensurate with $\mathcal{D}_{E_6}$. Since commensurability is a property that extends to homology classes, it is natural to make the following definitions: *a T-tope is a lattice polytope $\mathcal{G}_{D_4}$ -equivalent to one homologous to T and, a Q-tope is a lattice polytope $\mathcal{G}_{D_4}$ -equivalent to a lattice polytope homologous to Q as a Q-tope.* It is also natural to refer to commensurate and incommensurate homology classes of G^* -topes, so that homology classes of T-topes are commensurate classes and homology classes of Q-topes are incommensurate. By the definitions of T-tope and Q-tope, the homology classes of T-topes are $\mathcal{G}_{D_4}$ -equivalent and, the homology classes of Q-topes are $\mathcal{G}_{D_4}$ -equivalent.

Each of the triangles $\Delta_T^1, \Delta_T^2, \Delta_T^3$ in T has two edges that are long relative to φ_{E_6} , and a single edge that is short. This is a property that is $\mathcal{G}_{D_4}$ -invariant, so each T-tope has three inscribed triangles of this type. On the other hand, the triangle Δ_Q^1 in Q has edges that are short relative to φ_{E_6} , and the triangles Δ_Q^2, Δ_Q^3 have edges that are long. Accordingly, each Q-tope has one short and two long inscribed triangles.

The set of R-topes is the other collection we must consider: *an R-tope is a lattice polytope $\mathcal{G}_{D_4}$ -equivalent to a lattice polytope homologous to R .* The homology classes of R-topes are $\mathcal{G}_{D_4}$ -equivalent, and each R-tope in any of these classes has identicle intersection properties. If R' is an arbitrary R-tope, then $R' \cap \mathcal{D}_{E_6}$ has four yellow simplexes $Y_{R'}^1, Y_{R'}^2, Y_{R'}^3, Y_{R'}^4$, which belong to distinct G -topes and fit around a 3-cell $S'_G \in \mathcal{D}_{E_6}$; $R' \cap \mathcal{D}_{E_6}^*$ has four blue simplexes $B_{R'}^1, B_{R'}^2, B_{R'}^3, B_{R'}^4$, which belong to distinct Q-topes and fit around a 3-cell $S'_Q \in \mathcal{D}_{E_6}^*$. Each R-tope R' is commensurate with both $\mathcal{D}_{E_6}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{E_6}^*$.

Lemma 7.7 *The 40 homology classes of G^* -topes are divided between 24 homology classes of T-topes, and 16 homology classes of Q-topes. There are 12 homology classes of R-topes.*

Proof. will be included into the full-length paper ■

Repackaging Q-topes. Each Q-tope contains six white, and three blue simplexes, and since there are 16 homology classes of Q-topes, there are 96 homology classes of white, and 48 homology classes of blue simplexes. The 12 homology classes of R-topes gives a second accounting for blue simplexes, since each R-tope can be tiled by four blue simplexes. This allows a repackaging of the blue simplexes contained in Q-topes, into R-topes. The portion of space tiled by the 16 classes of Q-topes, can equally well be tiled by the 96 homology classes of white simplexes, and 12 classes of R-topes. This alternate tiling has the property that each tile is commensurate with both $\mathcal{D}_{E_6}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{E_6}^*$.

Proposition 7.8 *The 24 homology classes of T -topes, the 12 homology classes of R -topes, and the 96 homology classes of white simplexes fit together facet-to-facet to tile space. This lattice tiling $\mathcal{D}_R$ is commensurate with both $\mathcal{D}_{E_6}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{E_6^*}$.*

Proof. Since the T -topes and Q -topes tile space, the discussion immediately preceeding the proposition shows that the T -topes, R -topes and white simplexes also tile space. By *Lemmae* 7.2, 7.6 and *Proposition* 7.3 this tiling is commensurate with both $\mathcal{D}_{E_6}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{E_6^*}$. ■

8 Proof of main theorem

The forms $\varphi_{E_6} \in \Phi(\mathcal{P}_{E_6^*})$ and $\varphi_{E_6^*} \in \Phi(\mathcal{P}_{E_6})$ lie on the central axes of their domains, and the line segment $\varphi_t = (1-t)\varphi_{E_6} + t\varphi_{E_6^*}$, $0 \leq t \leq 1$, runs between them. The arithmetic minimum along this segment is m . At the end points the minimal vectors are respectively $\mathcal{S}_{E_6}$ and $\mathcal{S}_{E_6^*}$, but at intermediate points the minimal vectors are given by $\mathcal{S}_{E_6} \cap \mathcal{S}_{E_6^*}$.

The form φ_R . Suppose that the reference R is Delaunay with respect to the metrical form φ . Then there is a scalar c and vector $\mathbf{p}$ so that $f_R(\mathbf{x}) = c + \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{x} + \varphi(\mathbf{x})$ is non-negative on $\mathbb{Z}^6$, and zero valued on just the vertices of R ; $f_R(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ is the equation of an empty ellipsoid circumscribing R . The vertex sets for the component simplexes S_G, S_Q of R are given by

$$\begin{aligned} V_G &= \{[0^2, 1, 0^3], [0^3, 1, 0^2], [0^4, 1, 0], [0^5, 1]\}, \\ V_Q &= \{[0, 1, 0^4], [2, 0, 1^4], [-1^2, 0^4], [-1, 0^5]\}, \end{aligned}$$

and have the property that $\sum_{\mathbf{v} \in V_G} \mathbf{v} = \sum_{\mathbf{v} \in V_Q} \mathbf{v} = 4\mathbf{c}_R = [0^2, 1^4]$. Since f_R is zero on each vertex of R , the metrical form φ must satisfy the condition.

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \sum_{\mathbf{v} \in V_G} f_R(\mathbf{v}) - \sum_{\mathbf{v} \in V_Q} f_R(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{p} \cdot \left(\sum_{\mathbf{v} \in V_G} \mathbf{v} - \sum_{\mathbf{v} \in V_Q} \mathbf{v} \right) + \sum_{\mathbf{v} \in V_G} \varphi(\mathbf{v}) - \sum_{\mathbf{v} \in V_Q} \varphi(\mathbf{v}) \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{v} \in V_G} \varphi(\mathbf{v}) - \sum_{\mathbf{v} \in V_Q} \varphi(\mathbf{v}) = \text{trace}(\mathbf{P}_R \mathbf{F}_\varphi) = \langle \pi_R, \varphi \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{F}_\varphi$ is the matrix for φ and

$$\mathbf{P}_R = \sum_{\mathbf{v} \in V_G} \mathbf{v}\mathbf{v}^T - \sum_{\mathbf{v} \in V_Q} \mathbf{v}\mathbf{v}^T = - \begin{bmatrix} 6 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The final expression $\langle \pi_R, \varphi \rangle$ is the scalar product of forms π_R and φ , where π_R corresponds to $\mathbf{P}_R$; if forms π , φ have coefficient matrices $\mathbf{P}$, $\mathbf{F}$, then the scalar product $\langle \pi, \varphi \rangle$ is defined to be $\text{trace}(\mathbf{P}\mathbf{F})$.

The equation $\langle \pi_R, \varphi \rangle = 0$ determines a hyperplane H_R in the space of metrical forms.

Lemma 8.1 *The line segment $\varphi_t = (1-t)\varphi_{E_6} + t\varphi_{E_6^*}$, $0 \leq t \leq 1$, pierces the hyperplane H_R at the point φ_{t_R} , where $t_R = \frac{1}{2}$. There are twelve hyperplanes of the form $H_{R'}$, where R' is an R -tope, and the line segment $\varphi_t = (1-t)\varphi_{E_6} + t\varphi_{E_6^*}$, $0 \leq t \leq 1$, pierces each of these at $\varphi_{1/2}$, which is common to all of them.*

Proof. A direct calculation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \pi_R, \varphi_t \rangle &= \left\langle \pi_R, (1-t)\varphi_{E_6} + t\varphi_{E_6^*} \right\rangle = (1-t) \times \text{trace}(\mathbf{P}_R \mathbf{F}_{E_6}) + t \times \text{trace}(\mathbf{P}_R \mathbf{F}_{E_6^*}) \\ &= (1-t)\left(-\frac{1}{2}m\right) + t\left(\frac{1}{2}m\right) = -\frac{1}{2}m + mt. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the line segment φ_t , $0 \leq t \leq 1$, pierces H_R when $t = t_R = \frac{1}{2}$.

Each R -tope R' corresponds to a form $\pi_{R'}$, which in turn determines a hyperplane $H_{R'}$ with equation $\langle \pi_{R'}, \varphi \rangle = 0$. If $R' = \mathbf{g}^{-1}R$, where $\mathbf{g} \in \mathcal{G}_{D_4}$, then the corresponding form is given by $\pi_{R'}(\mathbf{x}) = \pi_R(\mathbf{g}^\circ \mathbf{x})$ and

$$H_{R'} = \{\varphi(\mathbf{g}\mathbf{x}) \mid \varphi(\mathbf{x}) \in H_R\};$$

$\mathbf{g}^\circ$ is the matrix dual to $\mathbf{g}$. On the other hand, if R' is homologous to R , then $\pi_{R'} = \pi_R$ and $H_{R'} = H_R$. There are twelve such hyperplanes, as there are twelve homology classes of R -topes (see Lemma 7.7). The equality $\varphi_{1/2}(\mathbf{g}\mathbf{x}) = \varphi_{1/2}(\mathbf{x})$, which holds for all $\mathbf{g} \in \mathcal{G}_{D_4}$, implies that the line segment φ_t pierces all of them at the same point. ■

Proposition 8.2 *Tiling $\mathcal{D}_R$ is Delaunay with respect to the form $\varphi_{1/2}$.*

Proof. Recall that $\mathcal{D}_R$ consists of 24 homology classes of T -topes, 96 homology classes of white simplexes, and 12 homology classes of R -topes (Proposition 7.8). Each T -tope T in $\mathcal{D}_R$ is a Delaunay cell of $\mathcal{D}_{E_6}$ whose intersection with a G -tope of $\mathcal{D}_{E_6^*}$ is T . Each white simplex in $\mathcal{D}_R$ is the intersection of a Q -tope, Delaunay cell of $\mathcal{D}_{E_6^*}$, and a G -tope, Delaunay cell of $\mathcal{D}_{E_6}$. By Lemma 4.3 all white simplexes and T -topes are Delaunay with respect to any intermediate form, including $\varphi_{1/2}$. By Lemma 8.1 and the preceding discussion, all homology classes of R -topes are Delaunay for $\varphi_{1/2}$. ■

Proof. For $0 < t < \frac{1}{2}$, the Delaunay tiling for each form $\varphi_t = (1-t)\varphi_{E_6} + t\varphi_{E_6^*}$ is constant, and obtained by replacing each R -tope in $\mathcal{D}_R$ by four yellow simplexes. For $\frac{1}{2} < t < 1$, the Delaunay tiling for each form φ_t is also constant, and obtained by replacing each R -tope in $\mathcal{D}_R$ by four blue simplexes. ■

The facet $\Phi(\mathcal{P}_{E_6} \cap \mathcal{P}_{E_6^*})$. Consider the form $\pi_P = \pi_{E_6^*} - \pi_{E_6}$ and the rank one form $\varphi_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{x}) = (\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{x})^2$. Then, $\langle \pi_P, \varphi_{\mathbf{p}} \rangle = \langle \pi_{E_6^*} - \pi_{E_6}, \varphi_{\mathbf{p}} \rangle = \text{trace}(\mathbf{P}_{E_6^*} - \mathbf{P}_{E_6})\mathbf{p}\mathbf{p}^T = \mathbf{p}^T(\mathbf{P}_{E_6^*} - \mathbf{P}_{E_6})\mathbf{p} = \pi_{E_6^*}(\mathbf{p}) - \pi_{E_6}(\mathbf{p})$. If $\mathbf{p} \in \mathcal{P}_{E_6^*}$, then $\pi_P(\mathbf{p}) = \pi_{E_6^*}(\mathbf{p}) - \pi_{E_6}(\mathbf{p}) = m - \pi_{E_6}(\mathbf{p}) \leq 0$, with equality if and only if $\mathbf{p} \in \mathcal{P}_{E_6^*} \cap \mathcal{P}_{E_6}$, and, if $\mathbf{p} \in \mathcal{P}_{E_6}$, then $\pi_P(\mathbf{p}) = \pi_{E_6^*}(\mathbf{p}) - \pi_{E_6}(\mathbf{p}) = \pi_{E_6^*}(\mathbf{p}) - m \geq 0$, with equality if and only if $\mathbf{p} \in \mathcal{P}_{E_6^*} \cap \mathcal{P}_{E_6}$. It follows that the hyperplane H_P , with equation $\langle \pi_P, \varphi \rangle = 0$, separates $\Phi(\mathcal{P}_{E_6})$ and $\Phi(\mathcal{P}_{E_6^*})$, and contains the facet $\Phi(\mathcal{P}_{E_6} \cap \mathcal{P}_{E_6^*})$.

Lemma 8.3 *The line segment $\varphi_t = (1-t)\varphi_{E_6} + t\varphi_{E_6^*}$, $0 \leq t \leq 1$, pierces the facet $\Phi(\mathcal{P}_{E_6} \cap \mathcal{P}_{E_6^*})$ when $t = t_P = \frac{2}{5}$. Moreover, the point $\varphi_P = \varphi_{t_P}$ lies on the central axis of $\Phi(\mathcal{P}_{E_6} \cap \mathcal{P}_{E_6^*})$.*

Proof. A direct calculation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \pi_P, \varphi_t \rangle &= \left\langle \pi_{E_6^*} - \pi_{E_6}, (1-t)\varphi_{E_6} + t\varphi_{E_6^*} \right\rangle = (1-t)\text{trace}(\mathbf{P}_P \mathbf{F}_{E_6}) + (t)\text{trace}(\mathbf{P}_P \mathbf{F}_{E_6^*}) \\ &= (1-t)\left(-\frac{2}{8}m^2\right) + t\left(\frac{3}{8}m^2\right) = -\frac{2}{8}m^2 + \frac{5}{8}m^2t, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{P}_P = \mathbf{P}_{E_6^*} - \mathbf{P}_{E_6}$. Therefore, the line segment φ_t , $0 \leq t \leq 1$, pierces the hyperplane H_P when $t = t_P = \frac{2}{5}$.

The forms φ_t are invariant with respect to the action of $\mathcal{G}_{D_4} = \mathcal{G}_{E_6} \cap \mathcal{G}_{E_6^*}$. Since $\mathcal{G}_{D_4}$ acts transitively on $\mathcal{P}_{E_6} \cap \mathcal{P}_{E_6^*}$, which can easily be checked, the only $\mathcal{G}_{D_4}$ -invariant positive forms on H_P must lie on the central axis of $\Phi(\mathcal{P}_{E_6} \cap \mathcal{P}_{E_6^*})$. Therefore, the line segment φ_t , $0 \leq t \leq 1$, pierces the hyperplane along the central axis of $\Phi(\mathcal{P}_{E_6} \cap \mathcal{P}_{E_6^*})$. ■

Proof of Theorem 3.3. By Lemma 8.2 $\mathcal{D}_R$ is the Delaunay tiling for the mid-point of the segment $\varphi_t = (1-t)\varphi_{E_6} + t\varphi_{E_6^*}$. By Proposition 7.8 $\mathcal{D}_R$ is commensurate with both $\mathcal{D}_{E_6}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{E_6^*}$. Therefore by Lemma 4.4 the L -type does not change between φ_{E_6} and $\varphi_{1/2}$, and between $\varphi_{E_6^*}$ and $\varphi_{1/2}$. By the results of Section 3 φ_{E_6} and $\varphi_{E_6^*}$ are the centroids of two *adjacent* perfect domains and, as shown in the above lemma, the wall between them intersects the segment φ_t at $t = \frac{2}{5}$. ■

References

- [1] V. Alexeev, *Complete moduli in the presence of semiabelian group action*, To appear in *Annals of Mathematics*, 96 pp, arXiv: math.AG/9905103
- [2] V. Alexeev, *On Extra Components in the Toroidal Compactification of A_g* , To appear in *Moduli of Abelian Varieties* (Texel island, 1999), Birkhauser, Boston. arXiv: math.AG/9905142

- [3] E. P. Baranovskii, Partition of Euclidean spaces into L -polytopes of certain perfect lattices. (Russian) Discrete geometry and topology (Russian). *Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov.* **196** (1991), 27–46; translated in *Proc. Steklov Inst. Math.* **196**, (1992), no. 4, 29–51
- [4] E. S. Barnes, The complete enumeration of extreme senary forms. *Philos. Trans. Roy. Soc. London. Ser. A.* **249** (1957), 461–506.
- [5] E. S. Barnes, T. J. Dickson, Extreme coverings of n -space by spheres. *J. Austral. Math. Soc.* **7** (1967), 115–127.
- [6] Conway, J. H.; Sloane, N. J. A. Low-dimensional lattices. III. Perfect forms. *Proc. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A* **418** (1988), no. 1854, 43–80.
- [7] Conway, J. H.; Sloane, N. J. A. Low-dimensional lattices. VI. Voronoi reduction of three-dimensional lattices. *Proc. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A* **436** (1992), no. 1896, 55–68.
- [8] Conway, J. H.; Sloane, N. J. A. *Sphere packings, lattices and groups*. Third edition. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], **290**. Springer-Verlag, New York, (1999).
- [9] H. S. M. Coxeter, *Regular Polytopes*, Dover, NY, 3rd edition (1973).
- [10] Coxeter, H. S. M. Extreme forms. *Canadian J. Math.* **3**, (1951). 391–441.
- [11] Coxeter, H. S. M. Kaleidoscopes. *Selected writings of H. S. M. Coxeter*. CMS Series of Monographs and Advanced Texts. John Wiley & Sons, New York, (1995).
- [12] Delone [Delaunay] B. N. The geometry of positive quadratic forms, *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk*, **3** (1937), 16–62; **4** (1938), 102–164.
- [13] Delone, B. N.; Ryškov, S. S. Solution of the problem on the least dense lattice covering of a 4-dimensional space by equal spheres. (Russian) Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 152, (1963), 523–524.
- [14] Delone, B. N.; Dolbilin, N. P.; Ryškov, S. S.; Štogrin, M. I. A new construction of the theory of lattice coverings of an n -dimensional space by congruent balls. (Russian) Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. 34 1970
- [15] Deza, M. M.; Laurent, M. *Geometry of cuts and metrics*. Algorithms and Combinatorics, 15. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1997.
- [16] Dickson, T. J. A sufficient condition for an extreme covering of n -space by spheres. *J. Austral. Math. Soc.* **8** (1968) 56–62.

- [17] Dickson, T. J. On Voronoi reduction of positive definite quadratic forms. *J. Number Theory* **4** (1972), 330–341.
- [18] P. Erdős, P. M. Gruber, J. Hammer, *Lattice points*. Pitman Monographs and Surveys in Pure and Applied Mathematics, 39. Longman Scientific & Technical, Harlow; copublished in the United States with John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1989.
- [19] Gruber, P. M.; Lekkerkerker, C. G. *Geometry of numbers*. Second edition. North-Holland Mathematical Library, 37. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam-New York, 1987
- [20] A. Korkine, G. Zolotareff, Sur les formes quadratiques, *Math. Ann.* **6** (1873), 366-389.
- [21] J. Martinet, *Les réseaux parfaits des espaces euclidiens*. (French) [Perfect lattices of Euclidean spaces] Mathématiques. [Mathematics] Masson, Paris, 1996
- [22] Ryshkov, S. S.; Baranovskii, E. P. *C*-types of n -dimensional lattices and 5-dimensional primitive parallelohedra (with application to the theory of coverings). Cover to cover translation of Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov **137** (1976). Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. 1978, no. **4**, 140 pp.
- [23] Ryshkov, S. S.; Baranovskii, E. P., Classical methods in the theory of lattice packings, *Russian Mathematical Surveys* **34**, 1979, p. 1
- [24] Voronoi G. F. Nouvelles applications des paramètres continus à la théorie des formes quadratiques, *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, Première mémoire, **133** (1908), 97-178, Deuxième mémoire, **134** (1908), 198-287, **136** (1909), 67-178.
- [25] G. F. Voronoi, *Sobranie sočineniïv treh tomah*, [Collected works in three volumes] vol. **2**, (in Russian), Kiev (1952). Introduction and notes by B.N.Delaunay.